



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/927,103	08/10/2001	Michael Priestley	CA920010055US1	3897

45112 7590 11/21/2005

KUNZLER & ASSOCIATES
8 EAST BROADWAY
SUITE 600
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

EXAMINER

ABEL JALIL, NEVEEN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2165

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/927,103	PRIESTLEY, MICHAEL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Neveen Abel-Jalil	2165	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Mr. David J. McKenzie (Attorney of Record). (3) Neveen Abel-Jalil.
 (2) Mr. Charles Rones (SPE 2164). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 November 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Horowitz et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,122, 647).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The Applicant request the Interview to discuss options to help further the prosecution along. The Applicant discussed his invention and what he viewed to be the difference between the application and the cited reference "Horowitz" specifically the limitaion of "user determined relative hierarchical order". The Examiner disagreed maintaining that the cited reference teaches user defined hierarchical order of identifiers in Figure 5. The Applicant stated that he will file a response with either reinstatement of the Appeal or an amendment to further clarify the invention.

On a different note, the Examiner agreed to resend the action to clarify grounds of rejection (102 (e) instead of 102(b)).